¹Agenda

Documents:

January 18, 2017 Think Tank Agenda Final.pdf

².Packet

Documents:

January 18, 2017 Think Tank Agenda Packet.pdf



Code SMTX Think Tank Meeting Wednesday, January 18, 2017 6:00 pm City Park Recreation Hall, 170 Charles Austin Dr. AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- **3. 30 Minute Citizen Comment Period.** The Think Tank welcomes citizen comments. Anyone wishing to speak must sign in with the secretary before the meeting and observe a three-minute time limit.
- 4. Approval of Minutes from October 12, 2016
- 5. Recognition for service Mayor Thomaides
- 6. Presentation and discussion on the development of the Revised Draft and next steps.
- 7. Exit Survey
- 8. Questions from the Press and Public.
- 9. Adjourn.



Code SMTX Think Tank Meeting Wednesday, January 18, 2017 6:00 pm City Park Recreation Hall, 170 Charles Austin Dr. AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- **3. 30 Minute Citizen Comment Period.** The Think Tank welcomes citizen comments. Anyone wishing to speak must sign in with the secretary before the meeting and observe a three-minute time limit.
- 4. Approval of Minutes from October 12, 2016
- 5. Recognition for service Mayor Thomaides
- 6. Presentation and discussion on the development of the Revised Draft and next steps.
- 7. Exit Survey
- 8. Questions from the Press and Public.
- 9. Adjourn.

1 2 3 4 5	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE CODE SMTX THINK TANK October 12, 2016 San Marcos Activity Center 501 E Hopkins Street			
6 7 8 9 10 11	THINK TANK MEMBERS PRESENT:	John David Carson Betsy Robertson Chris Wood Tom Wassenich Shawn DuPont		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	STAFF PRESENT:	Shannon Mattingly, Director of Planning and Development Services Abby Gillfillan, Planning Manager Brandon Melland, Senior Planner Alison Brake, Planner Tory Carpenter, Planner Will Parrish, Planning Technician Andrea Villalobos, Planning Technician Phillicia Phillips, GIS Analyst Ann Gabriel, Environmental Compliance Program Coordinator Greg Schwartz, Senior Engineer		
26 27 28 29 30 31	ė ė	g was called to order by Chair John David Carson t the San Marcos Activity Center, 501 E Hopkins		
32 33	30-Minute Citizen Comment Period			
34 35	There were no comments.			
36 37	Approval of Minutes from September 28, 201	<u>16</u>		
38 39	A motion was made to postpone approval of the	e September 28, 2016 minutes.		
40 41	Update on Envision Tomorrow development	modeling tool		
42 43 44	Abby Gillfillan provided an overview of the charges to the assumption sheets.	anges to the summary sheet of indicators and		
45 46	Hack the Code Session testing the new develo	opment code on potential projects		

ning Code SMTX dates. An additional Think
Betsy Robertson, Vice-Chair
Diann McCabe
David Singleton
Monica McNabb





TO: THINK TANK

FROM: ABIGAIL GILLFILLAN, PLANNING MANAGER

THROUGH: SHANNON MATTINGLY, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

DATE: **JANUARY 13, 2017**

RE: Code SMTX - REVISED DRAFT

Revised Draft – Code SMTX

This Revised Draft reflects a great deal of input and analysis received during the Public Review period. In order to facilitate your review of this draft, staff would like to offer individual meetings to assist in orienting you to the new draft and identifying major changes that resulted out of the public review period. Please contact Abby Gillfillan; agillfillan@sanmarcostx.com; 512 805-2635 to schedule a meeting.

Background

A public draft of the revised Land Development Code (Code SMTX) was released on May 27, 2016 for a four month public review period. During this time, staff facilitated review and analysis of the draft through the following:

- **Open Comment** An interactive online tool where over one thousand comments entered directly into the draft code were addressed.
- Financial Model A financial model was run early in the public review period based on the public draft of the code. Preliminary results were reviewed and discussed with the Think Tank resulting in some of the inputs and costs being further reconciled to the San Marcos area. In addition, these discussions led to specific results in the model being flagged as not meeting projected targets based on goals from the Comprehensive Plan. The model was then re-run based on the revised draft after the flagged indicators were addressed. Two examples of those flagged indicators and their effect on the revised code include:
 - Dwelling units per acre resulting from medium density residential building types in the Medical District Comprehensive Planning area were reflecting development patterns of 17 units per acre which is just barely meeting targets for transit supportive densities. The revised draft modified allowable building types in CD-4, as well as, some of the standards such as impervious cover, setbacks, minimum parking, and minimum lot sizes.
 - 2. Ratio of rental to owned housing types was flagged as something that needed to be shifted towards more building types that are available for home ownership. This was addressed in the code by including maximum lot sizes in CD-4 zoning district that require more fine-grained development types and decrease the scale of development in these areas in order to encourage more housing available for ownership without lowering the units per acre.
- Hack the Code Hypothetical and real life projects were run through the public draft of the code to identify situations where the draft was not meeting intended goals based on the comprehensive plan vision for different areas of town. Results of this exercise were flagged and addressed in the Revised Draft of the Code.

• Affordable Housing – Input and feedback received during the affordable housing workshop has been incorporated into the revised draft to facilitate opportunities for home ownership and to address diverse housing types being used as student rentals.

Next Steps

We have three meetings scheduled over the next few weeks to discuss Code SMTX including:

- January 18 Last Think Tank meeting (including an exit questionnaire)
- January 30 Joint City Council and Planning Commission Workshop #1
- February 8 Joint City Council and Planning Commission Workshop #2

Code SMTX - Think Tank

Planning and Development Services Department

-	ted As expected	☐ More than expected	
Why?			
			- C::11
2. Are there La	and Development Code	e-related issues that you think th	ie City wii
	and Development Code of through in the future	•	ie City Will

]	Less than desirable		As expected		Better than expected	☐ Consistently met goa
Vhj	1?					
	What proposed ch	ange	es to the Code as	re you	n most excited abo	out and why?